FALSE CHOICES, HIDDEN AGENDAS

Unmasking the Superintendent's "Hard Choices" Report

On June 5th, Austin Beutner released his "Hard Choices" report, based on an analysis by ERS, a paid consulting firm he hired. The report uses flawed methodology and incorrect data to justify conclusions and recommendations targeting educators and vulnerable students—including claims that educators are overpaid by 17% and healthcare costs 44% too much, and that special education student-to-teacher ratios should be increased.

APPLES
TO
ORANGES

This study uses different comparison districts, sometimes anonymous and sometimes not. They claim that a district with barely 7% of the enrollment of LAUSD (Cleveland, Ohio), and a district with only 34 charter schools (Baltimore, Maryland) are comparable to LAUSD—with 511,000 students and 277 charter schools. The authors compare financial information from different (and undisclosed) years, distorting the data using a wage inflator that is at least 11 years old, to make different points—whichever districts, and whatever manipulations, help support their predetermined conclusions.

BAD DATA The study uses incorrect numbers for basic data such as K-12 enrollment and percentage of English Language Learners. The authors lack an understanding of basic statistical concepts, claiming that LAUSD is out of line with the "median" percentage of students identified for special education, when in fact they are using a mean. They inconsistently manipulate data to suit whichever claims they are making, using no less than four different, and contradictory, amounts for per-pupil funding.

Here are some of Beutner's recommended "opportunities for reallocation."

- ✓ Increase special education student -to-teacher ratios
- ☑ Identify fewer students as special education and revise placement processes for identified students.
- Move away from investments in culture and social-emotional support.
- Cut aide staffing and compensation.
- Impose professional development without extra pay.
- Extend the workday without additional pay.
- 🗵 Attack salary point system.

For more details, read UTLA's full response to the report by visiting: http://bit.ly/ersresponse

BLAME EDUCATORS The #1 insight ERS offers is: "LAUSD is facing a structural deficit due to increasing liability for pensions from the state and annual spend[ing] on health and welfare benefits." They reach that conclusion despite the fact that LAUSD's percentage of the budget devoted to employee salaries and healthcare is well within—and even below—the range for comparable California districts. They admit that they never analyzed the cost of charter growth—despite the fact that the unregulated growth of the charter industry costs LAUSD almost \$600 million. Worst of all, the authors never mention per-pupil funding as a contributing factor—despite California ranking 43rd out of 50 states in per-pupil funding.







